

Pomona College  
**ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS PROGRAM**



"Those who contemplate the beauty of the earth find reserves of strength that will er

<p><a href="#">Main</a></p>	<p><b>A Survey of the Environmental Impacts of the Cement Industry</b>                  by Nick Hawkins, '04</p>
<p><a href="#">Newsletter</a></p>	<p><b>Introduction</b></p>
<p><a href="#">E.R.C.</a></p>	<p>Cement is ubiquitous in the United States and throughout the developed world. The modern city could not exist without it. Cement is the key ingredient in many key parts of roads and canals. Nearly all construction involves cement in some way. The volume of worldwide production is more than 1.7 billion tons of cement is produced annually; per capita, that works out to be about 100 lbs (Pearce, 2002).</p>
<p><a href="#">Theses</a></p>	
<p><a href="#">Major/Minor Info</a></p>	<p>The environmental impacts of the cement industry are significant on both a global and a local scale. This article will also present some methods by which the environmental impacts of cement can be reduced.</p>
<p><a href="#">Courses</a></p>	
<p><a href="#">Projects</a></p>	<p>A few definitions are necessary for clarity. Cement is an inorganic substance with binding properties. It is a mixture of materials like concrete (Martin and others, 1999). The product technically referred to as hydraulic cement with calcium silicates as its primary component is a hydraulic cement with calcium silicates as its primary component.</p>
<p><a href="#">Students</a></p>	<p>Throughout this article, unless stated otherwise, the term "cement" refers to portland cement.</p>
<p><a href="#">Faculty</a></p>	
<p><a href="#">Alumni</a></p>	<p><b>The Cement Industry and Global Warming</b></p>
<p><a href="#">Contacts</a></p>	<p>The cement industry is an important contributor to global warming, with estimates of total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of 1.5 billion tons per year (Philipson and others, 1999). Given the difficulty of assessing the contribution of a single industry, the uncertainty implied by this range should be expected. However, it is universally acknowledged that the cement industry is an energy intensive process that releases large amounts of carbon into the atmosphere, what makes it a significant contributor.</p>
<p><a href="#">Site Map</a></p>	
	<p>A brief outline of the cement manufacturing process at a typical American cement plant is as follows: A brief outline of the cement manufacturing process at a typical American cement plant is as follows: global warming. Cement kilns, where cement is manufactured, are usually located near a limestone deposit. Limestone, chalk, and other calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) bearing rock are crushed and combined with clay and is burned in a furnace to achieve calcination. Calcium carbonate goes to calcium oxide (lime), and carbon dioxide is liberated (CaCO<sub>3</sub> → CaO + CO<sub>2</sub>). The clay breaks down to aluminum oxide and iron oxide. The minimum temperature needed for this process is 1640 degrees Fahrenheit.</p> <p>After calcination, the lime is then combined with an iron ore and silica sand. The mixture is then chemically combined with the iron ore and silica. The minimum temperature needed for this process is 1450 degrees Fahrenheit. The product of this process is called clinker. Clinker is combined with several additives and ground to produce portland cement.</p>

The bulk of the carbon emitted in the cement manufacturing process comes from the CO<sub>2</sub> and the carbon emitted by burning of fossil fuels to bake the clinker. According to a report by the American Cement Association, in the average American cement kiln manufacturing one ton of cement requires equivalent to about 400 pounds of coal (Richardson, 2002). According to other analysts the energy requirement is 5.3 million Btu/ton (Martin and others, 1999). Coal is the dominant energy source in the industry; this has important implications for more local air pollution, which will be discussed later. Coal releases more CO<sub>2</sub> per unit energy produced by coal than is released by other fossil fuels.

### **Worldwide Trends in Cement Production and Global Warming**

The cement industry is a core industry in developed countries. Cement is a staple of infrastructure development that is inconceivable. This fact is important because given current trends we can expect cement production to continue to grow in poorer nations over the next few decades. In fact since cement production uses raw materials that are quite abundant, it is reasonable to expect cement production to be more closely correlated with population growth than GNP or any other measure of economic activity.

China is now, by far, the world's largest producer of cement. Annual cement production in China is about twice that of annual production in Japan or the U.S. - the second and third place nations. As poorer countries develop and more adequate housing for their citizens, cement production will surely increase. In fact, as poorer nations will also drive an increase in cement production (Philipson and others, 1999).

Cement production trends in several developing countries offer insight into what can be expected in other countries. The growth rate of China's cement industry over the period from 1970 to 1995 is about 12%. Growth rates of the industry in Brazil and Mexico, two countries with a development average to 4.5% over the period. The average rate in India was 6.6% (Philipson and others, 1999).

Cement production in China is therefore the most influential on worldwide carbon emissions. Unfortunately, fairly inefficient cement manufacturing processes predominate in China. Small kilns produce much of the cement in China, and these kilns are generally inefficient when compared to larger kilns (Philipson and others, 1999).

The United States also relies heavily on inefficient cement production processes. Remarkably, the United States' cement industry is less energy efficient than the cement industry in China. In China, the crushed, raw ingredients of cement are fed into the kiln and the cement produced in the U.S. in 1995 (Philipson and others, 1999). This process is extremely inefficient because of the wasted evaporating water from the slurry. It should be noted that this process has been the same in the United States to attempt to rein in carbon emissions, targeting the cement industry would be an obvious target.

### **Opportunities for Carbon Reductions in the US Cement Production**

Martin, Worrel and Price of the Lawrence Berkley lab wrote a report (1999) discussing opportunities for carbon reductions in the U.S. cement industry. Much of the information in the following sections relates to energy efficiency. Energy efficiency has improved over time in the U.S., and carbon emissions have dropped. In 1970, the energy requirement was 7.9 GJ per ton of cement (which converts to 7.5 Btu/ton), and total carbon dioxide emissions were 0.29 ton per carbon per ton. By 1997 energy intensity had dropped to 7.1 GJ per ton of cement and carbon emissions had dropped 17% to 0.24 carbon per ton per cement.

### **Current Best Practices**

In general, cement is produced with greatest energy efficiency and the least emission of CO<sub>2</sub> by the multi-stage process of baking the cement. Waste heat is utilized to preheat the powdered cement. Additionally, waste heat may be used to generate electrical power. A multi-stage, multi-machinery like rock crushers and conveyer belts is what constitutes the best current industry practice.

In addition, current industry practices include the production of blended cements, which have lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per ton of cement. The production of blended cement is increasing. Currently blended cements are used in parts of Asia, but they make up a miniscule share of the American cement market (Martin and others, 1999).

made by mixing materials like fly ash (a byproduct of coal combustion), blast furnace slag, and natural volcanic ash with finished clinker. The resulting product has similar properties to and is used for most of the same purposes. However, by supplementing the cement with fly ash or slag, less cement is needed per ton of cement. This significantly improves energy efficiency and lowers carbon emissions. The cement process is the most energy intensive process and where most of the carbon is emitted. An Association of data from 1996 showed that it would have been possible to produce 36% of the U.S. that year as blended cement. Potential production was determined by analyzing the amount of furnace slag easily available and the feasible marketability of the finished product (Martin and others, 1999). Blended cements is a feasible way to significantly lower carbon emissions.

In addition to potential improvements in the cement industry through the adoption of currently available advanced technological solutions that are highly promising. These are all, however, still years away from being widely available, if ever.

### **Advanced Technological Solutions**

There are several promising developments which could increase energy efficiency and decrease carbon emissions in the cement industry. Cement kilns using fluidized bed technology could potentially lead to lower energy consumption and air pollution. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (http://www.fe.doew.gov/coal\_power/fluidizedbed/index.shtml): fluidized beds suspend solid particles in the combustion process. The result is a turbulent mixing of gas and solids. The tumbling action promotes more effective chemical reactions and heat transfer.

Energy savings using the process of cement production promise to be significant, as do reductions in carbon pollution emissions. This process, however, is still years away from being commercially viable (Martin and others, 1999).

In addition, several portland cement alternatives exist in the research stage that are comparable to portland cement. These all have the promise of requiring less energy to produce and releasing less CO<sub>2</sub>. Mineral polymers are one possible replacement for portland cement. Mineral polymers can be made from inorganic materials (Martin and others, 1999) and have essentially the same properties and strength as concrete. The main advantage of mineral polymers would be that it requires lower temperatures to produce them compared with portland cement. Further development in these products is needed.

According to the magazine New Scientist, work is currently being done to develop a new type of cement called "green cement". It is claimed that production of this cement would be less energy intensive and over the course of its lifespan it would actually absorb more carbon than was emitted during production. This is a potentially promising development.

Finally, it is important to consider the potential of renewable energies (wind and solar) in the cement industry. Though information regarding the potentials of hydrogen and renewable energy is limited, one would expect renewable energies to make the cement industry much more energy efficient. If a hydrogen economy eventually develops, as theorists like Jeremy Rifkin predict, the cement industry - would be revolutionized. However, the idea appears to be considered pie in the sky and is generally unmentioned in analyses of the cement industry.

### **Opportunities for Carbon Reductions in Cement Production of Developing Nations**

Philipson and others (1999) detail opportunities for decreasing cement-associated emissions through the use of advanced technological techniques and industrial improvements to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the developing world. As discussed above, it was found that China was the furthest from the best available industry practices. The amount of carbon associated with cement production are 37% higher than the amount of carbon that would be emitted if China used best available industry practices. India, Mexico, and Brazil's total cement-associated emissions exceeded the same amount as the U.S. respectively.

Discussing methods to induce poorer nations to reduce their emissions is beyond the scope of this report. However, like international emissions trading to be set up to encourage carbon emissions reductions, similar methods can readily be gotten in the cement industry. Similarly, were the U.S. to sign

emissions on its own, the U.S. cement industry is ripe for modernization and improvement.

### Localized Environmental Impacts

The good news about cement kilns is that they are usually located near a quarry, and the bad news is that cement kilns are exceedingly bad neighbors for the people and the environment. The local effect (localized compared to the global effects of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) is air pollution. Best air pollution is associated with cement kilns. As mentioned previously, coal and coke are the primary fuels (Martin and others, 1999). With these fossil fuels, especially coal, one sees the usual air pollution from sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides emissions, fine particulate matter emissions. Specific to cement plants is the emission of cement kiln dust (CKD). So called fugitive powdered material in the cement kilns can release large amounts of CKD, which is composed of small particles that can be suspended in the air. Such small particulate matter is a suspected carcinogen, and windborne dust from piles of CKD can cause much light-colored, silver-gray air pollution. CKD can also cause soil and water contamination (Missouri Coalition for the Environment, 1999).

Due to a gap in EPA enforcement, since the 1970's cement kilns have been allowed to burn hazardous waste subject to less stringent emissions standards than toxic incinerators (Shipley, 2002). This is especially true for industrial solvents, used motor oil, and other materials of fuel classified as hazardous waste (by observers vary). While burning the waste to produce cement does utilize energy that would otherwise be wasted, the emissions from the burning of these materials can be quite nasty. This leads to elevated emissions of heavy metals like lead and cadmium and toxic organic chemicals.

This year the EPA instituted new regulations which essentially close the loophole. Starting next year, hazardous waste will have to meet emissions standards equivalent to those for toxic incinerators. Environmentalists should be vigilant in monitoring how enforcement of the new regulations progresses.

It is possible to hope that the new regulations will in themselves indirectly reduce the capacity of many of the cement kilns burning hazardous waste. Many of the cement kilns burning hazardous waste are older, less efficient kilns (Richards, 1999). They are provided a free source of fuel and are paid to burn it. This subsidizes the production processes. With new regulations, the cost of having hazardous waste incinerated or having it destroyed in a commercial toxic incinerator. It is reasonable to expect that this will lead to the closure of cement kilns, and this in a roundabout way might incite owners of less efficient cement plants to modernize.

### Long-range Concerns

There are many potential improvements that would lessen the environmental impacts of the cement industry. One can view the environmental impacts of cement production as being merely symptomatic of environmental overdevelopment. It is well within our grasp to significantly pare down the environmental impacts of the cement industry. It is important to consider the basic question of how much of what is being produced is necessary? Change at the most basic level is needed. We need to reduce our cement and how much of that is necessary? Change at the most basic level is needed. We need to reduce our ecological footprint. Analyzing the cement industry suggests that the best way to begin more sustainable patterns of growth. Cement industry emissions are a problem in the cement industry, because our houses are too big, because we have too many roads, because we have too many canals, and so on. No environmentally benign alternative to cement will have any positive impact on the environment. Detailed, industry-by-industry analysis is needed. In the end we should be mindful of the root cause of environmental problems: Our shortsighted consumption and overdevelopment.

---

POINTS OF INTEREST: [Andy Goldsworthy - Artist](#) | [Pomona College Organic Farm](#) | [Pomona College](#) | [ThinkNet SEO](#) | [The Science Lab Environment resources](#)

e-mail webmaster [blp02002@pomona.edu](mailto:blp02002@pomona.edu)

00008994

COUNTER

STATS

[tv products](#)